12. <u>REPORTS BY OFFICERS</u>

12.1 REPORT TITLE: MONARTO QUARRIES BUSINESS PLAN

DATE OF MEETING: 18 APRIL 2006

AUTHOR: BRIAN CLANCEY

AUTHOR'S TITLE: GENERAL MANAGER, ASSETS &

INFRASTRUCTURE

REPRESENTORS: N/A

FILE NUMBER: 40/080/026

ATTACHMENTS: CONFIDENTIAL - MONARTO QUARRIES

BUSINESS PLAN

DEPARTMENT: ASSETS & INFRASTRUCTURE

DEPARTMENT

MANAGER: BRIAN CLANCEY

PURPOSE

To advise Council of resolutions from the Monarto Quarries Board meeting held on 10 April 2006 and seek the adoption and implementation of the new Monarto Quarries Business Plan.

RECOMMENDATION

- 1. That pursuant to Section 90(2) and 90(3) of the Local Government Act 1999 the District Council of Mount Barker orders that the public be excluded from attendance at the meeting to consider in confidence matters regarding information the disclosure of which:
 - (i) could reasonably be expected to confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the Council is conducting, or proposing to conduct, business, or to prejudice the commercial position of the Council; and
 - (ii) would on balance be contrary to the public interest
- 2. That the Chief Executive Officer, General Manager Assets & Infrastructure, General Manager Strategy and Development, Acting General Manager Corporate and Community Services, and the Minute Secretary be permitted to remain in the room.

3. That Council:

- Note the resolutions from the Monarto Quarries Board meeting held on 10 April 2006 relating to the new Monarto Quarries Business Plan; and
- ii) Receive and adopt the new Monarto Quarries Business Plan dated 11 April 2006 (attachment 1) and authorise the Chief Executive Officer to oversee the implementation of the plan, in accordance with the recommendation of the Monarto Quarries Board.
- 4. That the Council orders pursuant to Section 91(7), (8) and (9) of the Local Government Act 1999 that the discussion, reports and attachments, and minutes relating to this item be kept confidential until 18 April 2007

extended at Council Mtg 4 Sept 2006 to 6 September 2007 released

as amended, the public be readmitted to the meeting at the conclusion of the item.

BACKGROUND

At its meeting on 6 March 2006 Council resolved to support various recommendations from the Monarto Quarries Board on the future governance and operational arrangements of Monarto Quarries.

An update report was subsequently noted by Council at its meeting on 3 April 2006.

A key element in this process has been the Monarto Quarries Board (with the support of Council) taking the initiative to commission the preparation of a new Business Plan for Monarto Quarries.

Both the Board of Monarto Quarries and Council have been actively involved in the preparation of the new Monarto Quarries Business Plan. The plan has been prepared by a team of consultants (appointed by the Monarto Quarries Board following a public tender call) with expertise in a number of relevant fields.

DISCUSSION

At the Monarto Quarries Board meeting held on 10 April 2006 the Board considered a final draft of the new Monarto Quarries Business Plan. The Board resolved to:

- 1. Receive the latest draft of the Monarto Quarries Business Plan (being the document dated 20 March 2006 with the substitution of the pages in enclosure 3);
- 2. Advise the consultants that from the Board's perspective the project brief for the preparation of a new Monarto Quarries Business Plan has been fulfilled and seek that the consultants now provide the required number of bound final copies as required by the brief;
- 3. Recommend to the District Council of Mount Barker that the latest draft of the Monarto Quarries Business Plan now be adopted and implemented by Council; and
- 4. Advise the District Council of Mount Barker that from the Board's perspective it has now completed the only remaining responsibility that it had and the Board does not propose to meet again in advance of the formal winding up of the Monarto Quarries subsidiary.

As required by the brief, the consultants have now provided the Business Plan document in bound form (attachment 1).

It is proposed that Council support the recommendations of the Monarto Quarries Board by adopting the new Monarto Quarries Business Plan (attachment 1) and authorising the Chief Executive Officer to oversee the implementation of the plan.

In relation to the formal winding up of the Monarto Quarries subsidiary, the Minister for State:Local Government Relations has been requested by Council to publish a notice to this effect in the Government Gazette. It is proposed that this take effect from Midnight on 30 April 2006. This timing is still to be confirmed.

In accordance with a Council resolution on 6 March 2006 a further update report is to be provided to Council on 1 May 2006.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

1. Financial/budget

The projected financial implications are contained within the Business Plan.

2. Legal

The process followed has been in accordance with legal advice commissioned by Council from Mellor Olsson.

3. Staffing/Work Plans

Monarto Quarries staff have regularly been briefed and have also been provided with access to professional support services.

Some staffing adjustments have been made in accordance with the Business Plan. As per the Business Plan, further staffing adjustments are proposed to occur.

4. Environmental

As per the Business Plan, significant environmental improvement works are proposed on site through the rehabilitation of land.

5. Social

Covered above in relation to staffing.

6. Strategic Plans

2004 – 2007 Community Strategic Plan6 GovernancePlace all Council enterprises on a sound business footing.

COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

1. Customer Needs Analysis

Increased resources are being deployed to the marketing of the Monarto Quarries' business products in accordance with the Business Plan.

2. Promotion/Communications

A letter has been forwarded by Council to key stakeholders (such as the Adelaide Hills Region Waste Management Authority) to make them aware of changes to improve the business and that it is 'business as usual'.

12.3 REPORT TITLE: CONFIDENTIAL REPORT –

STAFF ACCOMMODATION

REDEVELOPMENT

DATE OF MEETING: 18 APRIL 2006

AUTHOR: NARELLE JEFFERY

AUTHOR'S TITLE: GENERAL MANAGER CORPORATE &

COMMUNITY SERVICES

REPRESENTORS: N/A

FILE NUMBER:

ATTACHMENTS: 1 - OFFICE COMPLEX COST

COMPARISON

DEPARTMENT: CORPORATE & COMMUNITY SERVICES

DEPARTMENT

MANAGER: NARELLE JEFFERY

PURPOSE

To provide an update on the Staff Accommodation Redevelopment project and an outline of a probable cost estimate for the construction of the redevelopment.

RECOMMENDATION

- 1. That pursuant to Section 90(2) and 90(3) of the Local Government Act 1999 the District Council of Mount Barker orders that the public be excluded from attendance at the meeting to consider in confidence matters regarding information the disclosure of which:
 - (i) could reasonably be expected to confer a commercial advantage on a person with whom the Council is conducting, or proposing to conduct, business, or to prejudice the commercial position of the Council; and
 - (ii) would on balance be contrary to the public interest
- 2. That the Chief Executive Officer, General Manager Assets & Infrastructure, General Manager Strategy and Development, Acting General Manager Corporate and Community Services, and the Minute Secretary be permitted to remain in the room.

- 3. That Council discontinues the development of Option 3 Staged Approach as the preferred option for the expansion and upgrade of the Local Government Centre Staff Accommodation.
- 4. That Council research and consider other options (including commercial leasing and/or alternative development proposals to provide adequate staff accommodation).
- 5. That the Council orders pursuant to Section 91(7), (8) and (9) of the Local Government Act 1999 that the discussion, reports and attachments, and minutes relating to this item be kept confidential until 18 April 2007

extended at Council Mtg 4 Sept 2006 to 6 Sept 2007 extinguished at 6 August 2007 meeting.

6. extinguished at 6 August 2007 meeting.
as amended, the public be readmitted to the meeting at the conclusion of the item.

BACKGROUND

Council on 13 September 2005 endorsed:

٤..

- 3. That Council endorses the Staff Accommodation Redevelopment Master Plan.
- 4. That Council endorses Option 3 Staged Approach as the preferred option for the expansion and upgrade of the Local Government Centre Staff Accommodation.

. . .

DISCUSSION

The Option 3 – Staged Approach for the staff accommodation redevelopment project has continued with the detailed design phase of the project being completed enabling an order of cost estimate for the construction of the redevelopment to be determined.

The order of cost estimate provides Council with an approximate cost of stage 1 of the redevelopment project.

Based on the order of cost estimate the costs of the redevelopment project are as follows:

Construction \$4 412 000
 Fit Out Works \$1 600 000
 Total Cost \$6 012 000

To assist Council with considering this cost estimate in comparison to other Council office accommodation, the following table highlights the costs of other similar office accommodation redevelopments occurring within Local Government.

DCMB Redevelopment	\$4 412 000	1660 m ²	\$2658/m ²
Victor Harbour Civic Centre &	\$9 082 445	3376 m ²	\$2690/m ²
Library			
Renmark Paringa Community	\$4 078 940	1411 m²	\$2891/m ²
& Civic Centre			
Barossa Library & Civic Centre	\$5 850 000	2570 m ²	\$2000/m ²

In addition, a comparison is provided of the staff accommodation redevelopment project against other options, eg alternative sites for the construction of a Council office complex, and an approximate annual cost of leasing a commercial office complex within the Mount Barker District (Attachment 1).

It is recommended that alternative options be researched and considered to enable the provision of adequate staff accommodation (including leased premises).

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

1. Financial/budget

The provision of adequate staff accommodation needs to be considered in line with Council's annual budget and draft long term financial plan.

2. Legal

Council administration has a responsibility to ensure adequate staff accommodation in accordance with the Occupational Health Welfare and Safety Act 1986.

3. Staffing/Work Plans

The consideration of alternative options for staff accommodation will be undertaken by an appropriate local person with knowledge of the District's real estate market.

4. Environmental

N/A

5. Social

The location of the Local Government Centre needs to consider accessibility by the community.

6. Strategic Plans

6.7 Governance – Provide a safe working environment.

6.3 Governance – Implement innovative financial models as appropriate that maximise financial returns to the community.

COMMUNITY CONSULTATION

- 1. **Customer Needs Analysis** N/A
- **Promotion/Communications** 2. N/A

STAFF ACCOMMODATION REDEVELOPMENT COST COMPARISONS

Site Location	Construction	Cost	Size	Pros	Cons
	Cost	Per m ²	m²		
Local Government Centre	\$4 412 000	\$2658	1600	 Maintain focus of Council in same 	 High capital costs to
Redevelopment			(current	location	be outlayed, loan
			size	 Re-use of existing facility 	funds
			1100)	 Upgraded accommodation for staff 	 Only Stage 1 of
				 Additional 500 m² of office space 	construction
					 May need to
					commence a stage 2
					project for additional
					dollars to
					accommodate growth
					in 5-10 years time
					 Age of existing
					building
					 Relocation required
					during construction,
					eg huts, off-site.
Carpark Land (Mann & Walker	\$6 720 500	\$2302	2920	 Increased office accommodation in 	 High capital costs to
Streets)	(two storey &			the City centre	be outlayed, loan
(Alternative E)	underground			 Council office still in Mann St 	funds
	carparking)			 Maintain existing Local Government 	 Reduction in public
				Centre building	car space
				 Less staff & community disruption 	
				during construction stage	
				 Incorporates Stage 1 and 2 of current 	
				Staff Accommodation	
				Redevelopment Project (eg	
				incorporates Chambers and Civic	
				Area)	
				 Ability to lease out LGC and get 	
				return on investment	

Site Location	Construction	Cost	Size	Pros	Cons
	Cost	Per m ²	m^2		
Land East of Existing Council	\$5 063 500	\$3353	1510	 Council office still in Mann St 	 High capital costs to
Building (Alternative A)	(two storey)			 Maintain existing Local Government 	be outlayed, loan
				Centre building	spunj
				 Less staff & community disruption 	 Reduction in public
				during construction stage	open space
				 Incorporates Stage 1 and 2 of current 	
				Staff Accommodation	
				Redevelopment Project (eg	
				incorporates Chambers and Civic	
				Area)	
				 Ability to lease out LGC building and 	
				get return on investment	
Lease Building - Construction Cost	\$2 300 000	\$1389	1655	 Construction cost at builder's 	 Built to builder's
	(single			expense	specifications.
	storey)			 No contract management 	
				responsibilities	
Lease Building	\$365 000 per	N/A	1655	 No loan funds required – annual 	 Council does not own
(based on written information	annum			lease cost	an asset
received from local builder on				 No capital funds outlay 	 Building may not be
development costs)				 Short or long term opportunities 	located in town centre
				 Minimal disruption to staff and 	 Increase in annual
				community to move offices	operating costs
				 Ability to lease out LGC building and 	 Requires \$1.2 million
				get return on investment	fit out costs to be
					outlayed with no
					return at end of lease
					arrangement
					 Lease charge offers
					no savings compared
					to borrowing and
					bullaing.